Top Strategic Risks

| Object | ive Deliver effective corporate social responsibili | ity | | | | | |
|------------|--|-----------------------|---|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Risk | Reduction in Government Funding | | | | | | |
| Risk ID | Description | Owner | Current Evaluation Level | Residual Score | Residual Likelihood | Residual Impact | Dynamic |
| 7 | The extent of certain aspects government funding reductions and distribution of monies is unknown and may exceed that which is assumed in the Council's autumn budget statement. | Cabinet / CMT | Red | 16 | Likely [4] | Serious [4] |) Steady |
| Contro | ol Title | | | | | | |
| | t MTP processes supoprted by business planning including 1) Peer review of pressure jor saving strands | es and savings 2) Pol | itical prioritisation and decision making | 3) Financial S | trategy Board an | d other officer gro | oups to consider |
| The ou | tput of the CSR has been assessed against the current MTP at high level. | | | | | | |
| Use of | Council reserves to smooth the impact of government cuts | | | | | | |
| Action | Title | | | | | | |
| Develo | p a 4 year MTP rather than 3 to reflect likely timing of Spending Review | | | | | | |
| Early p | lanning for the later and more difficult years | | | | | | |
| Elected | d Members wiill make the difficult decisions on cost reduction. | | | | | | |
| Implem | nentation of aspects of "Bold steps" | | | | | | |
| Organi | sational restructure to reduce operating costs | | | | | | |
| CMT p | rogramme plan to monitor budget savings, efficiencies and other significant projects. | | | | | | |

| Object | ive Maintaining year on year financial balance | | | | | | |
|------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Risk | Consequences of the current UK economy on | wider scoiety | | | | | |
| Risk ID | Description | Owner | Current Evaluation Level | Residual Score | Residual Likelihood | Residual Impact | Dynamic |
| 5 | Financial and economic deterioration of the UK wide economy spills over into wider fabric of society resulting in a reduction in Council Tax collection and other significant income streams of the Council. | Cabinet / CMT | e Red | 16 | Likely [4] | Serious [4] | ⇔ Steady |
| Contro | I Title | | | | | | |
| Robus | MTP supported by business planning, including 1) Peer review of pressures and savir | ngs 2)Political prioritisat | ion and decision making | | | | |
| Econo | nic development and regeneration actvity | | | | | | |
| Proper | y understanding the Council's cost drivers and responding to the background indicators | s of poverty on the Count | у. | | | | |
| Flexibl | e arrangements exist within services to enable quick adjustment of the cost base to cha | anges in demand | | | | | |
| Core n | onitoring reports provided to CMT / Cabinet which include indicators of demand | | | | | | |
| Action | Title | | | | | | |
| Policy | ed budgeting approach | | | | | | |
| Refocu | sing of priorities to target action to address financial, health and wider socioeconomic ir | mpacts | | | | | |
| Demar | d management through a robust preventative stategy across all services | | | | | | |
| Counc | 's medium term plan "Bold Steps" to include the aim of growing the Kent economy | | | | | | |
| Establi | shment of Local Enterprise Partnership. | | | | | | |
| Develo | pment of monitoring arrangements for Council Tax collection with District Councils | | | | | | |

| Objec | ive Ensure regulatory and statutory compliance of | of the Council | | | | | |
|------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Risk | Children's Social Workers. | | | | | | |
| Risk ID | Description | Owner | Current Evaluation Level | Residual Score | Residual Likelihood | Residual Impact | Dynamic |
| 13 | There will be a breakdown of children's placements due to limited success of local / national / international campaigns to recruit Children's Social Workers, or recruitment of a large volume of inexperienced staff, resulting in service pressures, poor practice and challenging workloads for existing staff. | Cabinet / CMT | e Red | 25 | Very Likely [5] | Major [5] | Î Increasing |
| Contr | I Title | | | | | | |
| CSS F | ecruitment Group monitors SW vacancies and agrees strategies for urgent situations | | | | | | |
| Active | strategy in place to attract and recruit social workers through a variety of routes includi | ing a recruitment cam | paign in USA | | | | |
| 22 fina | year DipSW students have been recruited through the bursary scheme and were in po | ost as newly qualified | social workers from July 2010 | | | | |
| Recru | ment calendar ensures we recruit NQSW's annually. | | | | | | |
| Target | ed recruitment activity has taken place. | | | | | | |
| "Read | for Practice" scheme targeted at MA social work students | | | | | | |
| Nine s | cial workers recruited from Northern Europe via Jacaranda started in West Kent | | | | | | |
| Actio | Title | | | | | | |
| Work | as commenced to recruit final year SW students to secure a further 22 staff to commer | nce employment Augu | st 2010 | | | | |
| Ongoi | g development of further strategies to support recruitment e.g. qualification routes thro | ough open university | | | | | |
| Disser | inate best practice to secure stable SW staffing | | | | | | |
| CSS to | consider Recruitment Coordinator role to ensure that all SW applications receive atter | ntion | | | | | |
| CSS F | ealignment to review pay grading for SW team leaders and also support for Step into N | Aanagement program | ne | | | | |
| Review | 'growing our own' social workers. | | | | | | |
| Consid | eration to be given to converting some social work posts to assistant social worker pos | sts, changing the skill r | mix of the teams | | | | |
| Improv | ement Board set up to deliver agreed improvement plan | | | | | | |
| Action | of practice quality teams to look at practice and redesign teams | | | | | | |
| Progra | nmes in progress to ensure staff morale is maitained and enhanced | | | | | | |

| Risk | Information sharing and cross agency working to provide services | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Risk ID | Description | Owner | Current Evaluation Level | Residual Score | Residual Likelihood | Residual Impact | Dynamic | | | |
| 25 | Information is not shared, or is shared incorrectly, resulting in a failure of service provision (including preventing harm to clients) and/or a data protection breach. | Cabinet / CMT | e Red | 16 | Likely [4] | Serious [4] | 1 Increasing | | | |
| Contro | bl Title | | | | | | | | | |
| Robus | t safeguarding procedures are in place, including a common assessment framework. | | | | | | | | | |
| Cohere | ent county wide strategy and protocols on sharing information between agencies in pla | ace | | | | | | | | |
| Action | Title | | | | | | | | | |
| Integra | ntegrated systems are in development | | | | | | | | | |
| Monito | ring / progress paper to be provided to Cabinet | | | | | | | | | |

| Risk | Public Health Duties | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Risk ID | Description | Owner | Current Evaluation Level | Residual Score | Residual Likelihood | Residual Impact | Dynamic | | | |
| 52 | The Council fails to plan delivery of new public health responsibilities set out in the proposed changes in the way Public Health is to be delivered in the NHS White paper, resulting in a breach of statutory duties, and poor health protection, emergency preparedness and health improvement provision. | Cabinet / CMT | Red | 16 | Likely [4] | Serious [4] | New | | | |
| Contro | ol Title | | | | | | | | | |
| A local | transition board comprising the Kent and Medway DPHs, the Deputy DPH from Easter | ern and Coastal PCT, an | d the Director of Health Improvemen | t from West Kei | nt PCT has been | established | | | | |
| KCC h | as had a designated cabinet portfolio holder | | | | | | | | | |
| Action | Title | | | | | | | | | |
| prepari | ng a local transition plan alongside that being produced by the South East Coast region | on | | | | | | | | |
| | Cabinet member will assume a central role at a strategic level. This will include being invited to chair the public health board, contribute to the wider public health network and championing the public health function across the county | | | | | | | | | |
| An HR | framework is being developed as part of the work of the transition planning group | | | | | | | | | |
| Dedica | ted resource to be commissioned to ensure that any transfer of funding is fair and equ | uitable and the interests | of the Council are protected. | | | | | | | |

| Objective Delivery of services to meet Vision for Kent (T2010) and / or Business Plans | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------|--|--|
| Risk | Proposed cap on housing benefit entitlement | Proposed cap on housing benefit entitlement | | | | | | | |
| Risk ID | Description | Owner | Current Evaluation Level | Residual Score | Residual Likelihood | Residual Impact | Dynamic | | |
| 49 | There will be a significant movement of families from large city areas, particularly London, into the County due to the proposal of government to cap housing and other benefit resulting in an un-sustainable increase in demand for our services such as schools and social services. | Cabinet / CMT | ● Red | 16 | Likely [4] | Serious [4] | New | | |
| | pment of early warning indicators through existing mechanisms and/or District Council | Housing teams | | | | | | | |
| Issue t | o be discussed at South East Leaders / CEx forum. | | | | | | | | |

| Risk | Transfer of LD Services and accountanbility to the County | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| Risk ID | Description | Owner | Current Evaluation Level | Residual Score | Residual Likelihood | Residual Impact | Dynamic | |
| 23 | LD transfer from NHS presents 2 levels of risk; from now to 31 March 2011, local health bodies pass insufficient funds across to maintain individual's services; and from April 2011 when the funding transfers nationally, that this is done by formula, and not by recognising actual costs. | Cabinet / CMT | e Red | 16 | Likely [4] | Serious [4] | 1 Increasing | |
| Action | Title | | | | | | | |
| Detaile | d and transparent analysis and planning with local health bodies | | | | | | | |
| Lobbyi | ng of central government based on solid evidence | | | | | | | |

| Object | ive To deliver a new organisational framework | | | | | | |
|------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Risk | Financial Accounting Framework | | | | | | |
| Risk ID | Description | Owner | Current Evaluation Level | Residual Score | Residual Likelihood | Residual Impact | Dynamic |
| 43 | Required amendments to the financial accounting framework will not be delivered by 1st April or other statutory requirements will not be met as a result of a lack of appropriate capacity resulting in compromised financial management and critique from statutory regulators. | Cabinet / CMT | e Red | 20 | Likely [4] | Major [5] | New |
| | nmitment has been made to this course of action, nor will any commitment be made ur | ntil the impact has beer | a assessed, and appropriate mitigatio | n and controls p | ut in place | | |
| Extra r | Title ate Finance is developing a project plan to deliver the required amendments asources to be provided to Corporate Finance if required. ments to the current reporting deadlines (such as those for the annual accounts) will b | e reviewed | | | | | |
| Discus | sions to be held with the Audit Commission | | | | | | |

| Risk | Multiple pressures | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Risk ID | Description | Owner | Current Evaluation Level | Residual Score | Residual Likelihood | Residual Impact | Dynamic | | | | |
| 44 | Key aspects of medium term financial plan and other corporate projects in response to internal and external changes are not delivered as available management capacity is exceeded, or projects are deemed no longer viable, resulting in adverse financial standing, service or policy failure in the short to medium term. | Cabinet / CMT | e Red | 20 | Likely [4] | Major [5] | New | | | | |
| No con Weekly | Control Title No commitment has been made to this course of action, nor will any commitment be made until the impact has been assessed, and appropriate mitigation and controls put in place Weekly reports to CMT | | | | | | | | | | |
| Action CMT w | A project plan and time line are in place, a project team established, risks are identified and mitigation steps already taken. Action Title CMT will discuss and examine the different proposals and create models for new ways of working Transition planning is taking place and a detailed transition plan is being developed. | | | | | | | | | | |
| CMT w | ill monitor a programme plan to incorporate "Change to Keep Succeeding" actions, but | dget outcomes, efficiency | and de-prioritisation changes and | all other truly sig | gnificant projects | | | | | | |